

## INTRODUCTION

- Increase in irrigated acreage over time in the Mississippi delta groundwater levels in the Mississippi River Valley Alluvial Aqu
- The MRVAA groundwater levels are diminishing at faster rate which necessitates the need for better water saving irrigation practices in the MS Delta.
- Irrigation scheduling using soil moisture sensors and cover of options to conserve and/or improving soil and water resource
- Cover crops reduce evaporation losses, increase soil water h increasing organic matter inputs and can increase water infilt irrigation runoff and water use resulting in higher water use e **Spencer et al., 2018).**

## OBJECTIVE

 Evaluate the impact of cover crops and sensor thresholds for corn production and soil properties in the Mississippi Delta R

## MATERIALS & METHODS

### **Field Experiment**

- Site: National Center for Alluvial Aquifer Research, Leland, M
- Initiation: Fall 2019
- Experimental design: randomized complete block with four re
- Irrigation treatments:
- a) no irrigation
- b) Irrigation initiation at -40 kPa
- c) Irrigation initiation at -90 kPa
- Watermark soil moisture sensors were installed in each plot a
- Irrigation was started when the weighted average of the sense treatment thresholds.
- Cover crop treatments:
- a) No cover crop
- b) Cereal rye (Secale cereale L.)
- c) Hairy vetch (*Vicia villosa* L.)
- d) Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)-radish (Raphanus sativus L.) <u>Measurements</u>
- Bulk density and penetration resistance measurements were crops (fall 2019), as well as after termination of cover crops (
- Infiltration measurements were taken in December 2019 using infiltrometer.
- Cover crop biomass data was collected before the termination 2020. The collected biomass was oven-dried at 65°C, weighed and N content to determine cover crop biomass, its C/N ratio,
- Corn was harvested using a plot combine (Kinkaid, Haven, KS were adjusted to 155 g kg<sup>-1</sup> moisture content before data anal
- The GLIMMIX procedure of the SAS statistical software was used to analyze all the collected data.

# Impact of Cover Crops and Irrigation Scheduling Thresholds on Corn Production Gurpreet Kaur, Dillon Russell, and Gurbir Singh National Center for Alluvial Aquifer Research, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS

elta have resulted in decline in Aquifer (MRVAA). te than it can be replenished, on and crop management	<ul> <li>treatments during the first year of our study (data not presented).</li> <li>Averaged over irrigation treatments, wheat-radish-turnip mix (3,869 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) had 1,590, 1,885, and 3,229 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> greater biomass production than cereal rye, hairy vetch, and no cover crop treatments, respectively, in spring 2020 (Table 1).</li> <li>The weeds in the no cover crop treatment had lowest CN ratio whereas the cereal rye had 3 to 11.2 units higher CN ratio than all other cover crops, when data was averaged over irrigation treatments (Table 1).</li> </ul>											
crops can be potential											ergence in	
Ces.		o treatments which reduced plar			_			_				
holding capacity by iltration which reduces efficiency (Irmak, 2020;	• The no cover crop treatment had 1.6 to 12.9 units higher water productivity at -90 kPa irrigation scheduling treatment than all other treatments, however it was not significantly different from no cover crop treatments under no irrigation and -40 kPa as well as for cereal rye cover crop under no irrigation.											
children y (in max, 2020,	during the 2	an values of cover crop biomass 2020 growing season. Means fol Il letters for an alphabetic series	lowed by the same	letter within	-	•	•			•	•	
or irrigation scheduling on Region.			Cover Crops			Corn						
	Irrigation Thresho	olds Cover crop	Biomass Production	N-Uptake	CN Ratio	Plant Population	Silage Biomass production	Silage N- Uptake	C/N Ratio	Grain Yield	Water Productivity	
			kg ł	na <sup>-1</sup>		plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	kg ha	-	Ratio	Mg ha <sup>-1</sup>	kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹	
	No Irrigation		2,345	57.8	13.1	76,471	20.4	271.9	30.4	12.0	23.1 a	
<b>VIS</b>	-40 kPa -90 kPa		2,204 2,030	44.8 41.0	14.6 13.3	66,599 70,714	19.9 20.6	273.6 280.0	29.2 29.8	12.3 12.3	19.7 b 23.6 a	
replications		No cover crop	640 c	11.1 c	7.9 d	78,342	20.9	273.4	31.0	13.8 a	26.4 a	
		Cereal rye Hairy vetch	2,279 b 1,984 b	40.7 b 54.7 b	19.1 a 11.4 c	69,295 65,771	20.9 21.0	300.8 277.9	27.9 30.2	12.7 b 10.9 c	21.2 b 18.7 c	
		Wheat-radish-turnip mix	3,869 a	34.7 b 84.4 a	16.1 b	71,636	18.4	248.0	30.2	11.6 c	22.2 b	
	No Irrigation	No Cover crop	522	10.2 f	7.8	84,842	19.2	246.5	32	13.5	25.9 ab	
t at 15, 30, 60, and 91 cm.	No Irrigation No Irrigation	Cereal Rye Hairy vetch	1,994 2,359	32.3 def 70.8 b	19.7 10.9	72,227 74,568	21.3 21.4	300.0 286.2	29.8 30.0	13.2 10.1	25.4 ab 19.4 d	
sors reached the required	No Irrigation	Wheat-radish-turnip mix	2,339 4,503	117.9 a	13.9	74,308	19.5	255.0	30.9	11.2	21.6 cd	
	-40 kPa	No Cover crop	729	14.0 ef	8.1	65,691	22.2	286.1	31.5	13.4	25.7 ab	
	-40 kPa	Cereal Rye	2,477	42.9 c-f	20.2	70,210	20.6	293.4	28.5	12.5	14.8 e	
	-40 kPa	Hairy vetch	1,873	55.0 bcd	11.4	60,687	20.7	282.9	29.0	11.1	14.6 e	
	-40 kPa	Wheat-radish-turnip mix	3,738	67.1 bc	18.2	69,806	16.0	232.1	27.7	12.3	26.6 bc	
	-90 kPa	No Cover crop	667	10.8 f	7.6	84,494	21.1	287.7	29.5	14.3	27.5 a	
	-90 kPa -90 kPa	Cereal Rye Hairy vetch	2,365	46.6 bcd 38.3 c-f	17.4 11.9	65,449 62,059	20.7 21.0	309.2 264.6	26.4 31.7	12.2 11.5	23.4 bc 22.1 cd	
)-turnip ( <i>Brassica rapa</i> L.) mix	-90 kPa	Wheat-radish-turnip mix	1,721 3,365	68.0 bc	16.3	70,856	19.9	256.7	31.7	11.5	21.5 cd	
e taken before planting cover (spring 2020).		CONCLUSION										
ng Cornell Sprinkle	-	ps did not show any changes in use of cover crops might impro										
on of cover crops in spring	continued	for more years to provide stron	g recommendation	about use	of cover c	rops and irrigat	ion scheduling	g on corn p	roductio	n in MS delt	a.	
ed, ground, and analyzed for C o, and N uptake.	REFERENCES											
KS) in year 2020 and yields alysis.		2020. Long-term UNL study exan mines-impacts-cover-crops-soil	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	over crops o	on soil, wa	ter. Last access	ed 24 June 20	20. <u>https://c</u>	ropwate	:h.unl.edu/lo	ong-term-unl-	
used to analyze all the		$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$										

## **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

• Spencer, G.D., L.J. Krutz, L.L. Falconer, W.B. Henry, C.G. Henry, E.J. Larson, and R.L. Atwill. 2019. Irrigation water management technologies for furrow-irrigated corn that decrease water use and improve yield and on-farm profitability. Crop, Forage & Turfgrass Management, 5(1), 1-8.





